

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,946	06/24/2003	Andrea Cinotti	048562-9004	1027
7	590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael Best & Friedrich LLC			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
Suite 1900 401 North Mic	higan Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	icago, IL 60611 3652			

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10				
	10/602,946	CINOTTI ET AL.	10,				
⟨ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald Underwood	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addre	'SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>06/24/03</i>						
	o)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	·—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,8 and 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-7,9 and 10 is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	withdrawn from consideration. ed. to.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	· ·	• • •	, ,				
·	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-	132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do a. Certified copies of the priority do a. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/28/04.	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)				

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figure 1 and 4 disclose the separate species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,946

Art Unit: 3652

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the gripping members are moved to and from a gripping position (claim 1) and a first of said gripping member is moved about a fifth axis (claim 13) and in a fourth direction (claim 14).

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, "designed" in line 2 provides no specific structural limitation and thus renders the claim indefinite. This deficiency could be eliminated by changing "designed" to --positioned--.

Regarding claim 12, "third" is confusing and indefinite since no first and second actuating means are claimed. Moreover, the "third actuating means" appears to be the same movement set forth for actuating means in claim 1. Clarification is required. This

Art Unit: 3652

j)

clarification should clearly identify the actuating means in claim 1 and the third actuating means in claim 12.

Regarding claim 13, "fourth actuating means" is confusing since no first, second or third actuating means are claimed. Fifth axis is also confusing and indefinite since no first, second, third and fourth axes are claimed. Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 14, "fourth direction" is confusing and indefinite since no second and third directions are claimed. Clarification is required.

Further regarding claims 13 and 14 and regarding claim 16, these claims appear to be directed towards the species in figure 4 while parent claim 1 appears to be directed towards the species in figure 1 and are thus indefinite.

Further regarding claim 12, it appears the intent may have been to direct this claim towards the species in figure 4; however, this would have required the insertion of the phrase --at least one of-- before at the beginning of line 3 and the changing of "each", line 3, to --the--. Note however this would have provided an improper claim since claim 1 is directed to the species in figure 1. In summary, it appears claims 12-16 are directed towards figure 4 but parent claim 1 is directed to the species in figure 1.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Anderson.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,946

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

The intended use, i.e., to move reams, can not serve as a basis for patentability.

Moreover, the unit in Anderson could be used to move a ream.

8. Claims 1, 4, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sato.

The unit in Sato could be used to move a ream.

- 9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by European reference 0995589.
- 10. Claims 5-7, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Underwood/vs October 28, 2004

Monuton limberord 11/02/04 MONATO W. UNDERWOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER